## REMARKS

In the Official Action dated April 4, 2006, the Examiner allowed Claims 1-5. The Examiner however rejected claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, alleging that claim 6 is indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

This response addresses each of the Examiner's objections and rejections. Accordingly, the present application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration of all pending claims is therefore respectfully requested.

For purposes of expediting prosecution, Applicants have cancelled claim 6 without prejudice. However, Applicants have not abandoned the subject matter in claim 6 and reserve the right to file a continuation application directed thereto.

Accordingly, the Examiner's rejection of claim 6 is now moot in view of this amendment. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

Thus, in view of the foregoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance, which action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 32,211

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser, P.C. 400 Garden City Plaza, Suite 300 Garden City, New York 11530 (516) 742-4343 MJC:dg